J Univ Med Dent Coll. 2021;12(4): 270-274 ISSN (Print) 2221-7827, ISSN (Online) 2310-5542

DOI: 10.37723/jumdc.v12i4.612

http://www.jumdc.com/

Original Article

Open Access

Evaluation of oral hygiene Knowledge and Practices among patients visiting a Public Dental Institute

Naveed Irfan ^a, Agha Taymoor Ali^b, Suneel Kumar Punjabi ^c, Mowaffaq Abdullmomen Al Absi ^d, Talha Asad Khan ^e, Arsalan Ahmed^f

^aAssociate Professor Department of Community Dentistry, Isra Dental College Isra University Hyderabad.

^bAssistant Professor, Department of Operative Dentistry, Ishrat Ul Ebad Institute of Oral Health Sciences, Dow University of Health Sciences, Ojha Campus, Karachi.

^cAssociate Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Institute of Dentistry Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences, Jamshoro.

d'Associate Professor, Department of Operative Dentistry, Inchrage Endodontic Department Isra Dental College, e'Senior Registrar, Department of Operative Dentistry, Bolan Medical College, Quetta.

f'Assistant Professor/ Head Department of Periodontics at Avicenna Medical & Dental College Lahore.

*Corresponding Author's e-mail: dr.naveedirfan82@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND & OBJECTIVE: Knowledge regarding oral hygiene and routine practices of maintaining that hygiene contributes to good oral and overall health of a person. The objective of the study was to evaluate the oral hygiene perception and practices among patients belonging to rural and urban areas visiting a public dental institute.

METHODOLOGY: A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted at the dental outpatient department (OPD) of the Institute of Dentistry Liaquat University Jamshoro Sindh from June-December 2019. All patients who reported the dental OPD during the duration of the study belonging to either gender and age 18-70 years were included in the study. While those who reported in emergency with painful conditions and chronic diseases like diabetes mellitus, hypertension, etc., were excluded. A written questionnaire was used to collect participant's information including socio-demographic details and information related to oral hygiene perceptions and practices. Data was analyzed by SPSS version 24.0.

RESULTS: The mean age of participants was 28.94 ± 9.84 years, with an age range of 19-58 years. There was a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between female and male participants regarding knowledge and perception of the role of oral cleaning in decay prevention, different methods of preventing dental problems, and the role of remnants of sweet food in damaging teeth. While statistically significant difference (p<0.05) in the type of toothbrush used, brushing technique, and frequency of changing toothbrush was demonstrated between participants belonging to rural and urban regions.

CONCLUSION: Knowledge and routine practices regarding oral hygiene are much lower in residents of the rural region compared with the urban region.

KEYWORDS: Dental Health Surveys, Oral Hygiene, Knowledge.

INTRODUCTION

Oral diseases are considered a major public health concern, owing to their high prevalence and effects on an individual's quality of life in causing agony, distress, defacement, and even mortality, affecting more than 3 billion people worldwide [1]. Oral diseases, like most chronic diseases in general are directly linked to lifestyle and in the last few years, a decline in caries prevalence is being observed in the epidemiological profile of dental caries [3]. This occurs as a result of oral health promotion programs, and fluoridated

drinking water. These factors are directly related to reductions in caries and tooth extractions, clearly supporting the view that dental caries can be reduced by controlling risk factors [4].

The behavior of an individual regarding oral hygiene and seeking oral health care depends on a multitude of factors. Evidence has shown that basic knowledge of oral health influences oral care practice in a positive manner [5]. Appropriate knowledge regarding oral health not only changes the attitude but also encourages people to maintain proper oral health hygiene practices [6].

Irfan N, Ali AT, Kumar S, Absi MAA, Khan TA, Ahmed A. Evaluation of oral hygiene knowledge and practices among patients visiting a public dental institute. Journal of University Medical & Dental College. 2021;12(4):270-274.



Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

270 J Uni Med Dent Coll

Moreover, patients have shown to adhere to oral healthcare regimens and have shown more compliance towards oral healthcare routines when properly informed and positively encouraged ^[6]. Studies have demonstrated that in the absence of proper knowledge, especially among the majority of people residing in rural areas and negligent behavior of the urban population commonly results in a number of dental diseases ^[7,8].

This is also supported by the fact that lack of proper information or even misinformation about oral health is one of the leading causes of non-adherence to oral healthcare and oral hygiene routines [9].

The change to a healthy attitude and practice can be achieved by giving adequate information and motivation to the subjects [10].

This study was designed to evaluate the oral hygiene perception and practices among patients belonging to rural and urban areas visiting a public dental institute. The information of this study can be beneficial in future oral health planning and promotional strategies.

METHODOLOGY

This cross-sectional study was undertaken at the dental Outpatient department (OPD) of the Institute of Dentistry, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, from June 2019 till December 2019. All patients belonging to either gender and aged from 18 to 70 years that visited the dental OPD during the duration of the study were included. While those who reported in emergency and/or with chronic diseases like diabetes mellitus, hypertension, etc., were excluded. The sample size was derived by the formula n=Z2 P(1-P)/d2 [11].

A sample size of 300 was obtained. Non-probability consecutive sampling technique was applied for the selection of study participants. Ethical clearance was obtained from the institutional ethical committee of Isra University Hyderabad, and permission for the collection of information was obtained from the in-charge of the Institute of Dentistry, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro. Written consent was obtained from each participant who fulfilled the selection criteria.

A close-ended questionnaire comprising of two parts was handed over to each participant. The first part of the questionnaire consisted of the socio-demographic profile of the individual, while the second part consisted of close-ended questions about the participant's oral hygiene perceptions and practices. The questionnaire was validated by the epidemiologist in the university. The questionnaire was initially framed in English language and then translated into the local languages (Urdu and Sindhi). To test the reliability of the questionnaire, a pilot study was conducted. The participants of the study were asked to fill the questionnaire themselves, while those who faced difficulty in reading the local language, assistance was provided by their companions or fellow participants. A strict protocol of confidentiality was maintained to avoid any sort of bias. Participation of all participants was voluntary, and no incentive was offered. The data was entered and analyzed using Statistical Package

for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24.0. The gender and residence difference in oral health perception and practices among the participants was evaluated using Chi-square statistics and/or Fisher's exact test, whichever applicable. p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 300 participants were recruited in the present study. Out of the total participants, the majority were male. The mean age of the participants was 28.94±9.84 years, with an age range between 19 and 58 years. Over three-fourths of the participants were young adults, i.e., less than or equal to the age of 40 years, while the majority of them were from urban areas (Table-I).

Table-I: Socio-demographic distribution of study participants (n=300).

Gender	F (%)				
Male	162 (54.0)				
Female	138 (46.0)				
Age group (years)	F (%)				
≤ 20	60 (20.0)				
21-30	120 (40.0)				
31-40	82 (27.3)				
41 and above	38 (12.7)				
Residence	F (%)				
Rural	124 (41.3)				
Urban	176 (58.7)				

Table-II demonstrates the knowledge and perception of study participants about oral hygiene. Most of the participants knew about the importance of oral health and its impact on the general health of a person. Male participants responded positively to this question compared to the females though the difference between both genders to this question was insignificant. There was a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between female and male participants in terms of knowledge and perception of the role of oral cleaning in decay prevention, different methods of preventing dental problems and the role of remnants of sweet food in damaging teeth. A statistically significant difference (p<0.05) in oral health knowledge and perception was demonstrated between rural and urban regions participants (Table-II). Table-III presents the distribution of participants in relation to gender and region according to their oral hygiene habits/practices. Most of the study participants were using toothbrush and paste. A statistically significant difference (p<0.05) was observed between males and females as well as between rural and urban participants. A total of 177 (59.0) participants were using toothpaste, of which 118 (66.7) were not noticing its type, whereas 37(21.0) reported that they were using herbal toothpaste and 22(12.4) were using fluoridated toothpaste. Statistically, a significant (p<0.05) difference was observed between male and female as well as rural and urban participants regarding the duration of brushing and frequency of dentist visits.

Additionally, a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) in the use of interdental aid, type of toothbrush used, brushing technique, and frequency of changing toothbrush was

demonstrated between participants belonging to rural and urban regions (Table-III).

Table-II: Comparison of Oral hygiene knowledge between study participants (n=300).

	Male 162	Female 138	p-value	Rural 124	Urban 176	p-value
Does oral health have any impact on overall health						
YesNoDon't Know	80(49.3) 38(23.4) 44(27.1)	60(43.4) 26(19.0) 52(37.6)	0.14	31(25.0) 42(34.0) 51(41.0)	109(62.0) 22(12.5) 45(25.5)	<0.001
Clean mouth can prevent tooth decay • Yes • No	136(84.0) 26(16.0)	103(74.6) 35(25.4)	0.045	90(72.6) 34(27.4)	149(84.7) 27(15.3)	0.010
Methods to prevent dental problems	18(11.1) 82(50.6) 36(22.3) 26(16.0)	37(26.8) 57(41.3) 28(20.3) 16(11.6)	0.005	29(23.4) 39(31.4) 37(30.0) 19(15.2)	26(14.7) 100(57.0) 27(15.3) 23(13.0)	<0.001
Method of cleaning tongue Fingers Tooth brush Tongue cleaner Mouth rinsing with water Don't clean tongue	21(13.0) 19(11.7) 10(6.2) 38(23.4) 74(45.7)	19(13.8) 22(16.0) 12(8.7) 18(13.0) 67(48.5)	0.19	29(23.4) 10(8.0) 5(4.0) 16(13.0) 64(51.6)	11(6.2) 31(17.6) 17(9.6) 40(22.8) 77(43.8)	<0.001
Retention of sweet food on teeth damage teeth • Yes	84(52.0)	40(29.0)		56(45.1)	68(38.6)	
NoDon't know	16(9.8) 62(38.2)	15(11.0) 83(60.0)	< 0.001	17(13.7) 51(41.2)	14(8.0) 94(53.4)	0.06
In case of bleeding gums	23(14.2) 42(26.0) 38(23.4) 59(36.4)	31(22.4) 40(29.0) 19(13.8) 48(34.8)	0.07	40(32.2) 15(12.1) 12(9.7) 57(46.0)	14(8.0) 67(38.0) 45(25.6) 50(28.4)	<0.001

DISCUSSION

It is a very difficult task to define the quality of life of an individual or society depending on oral health since the basic concept itself is very vague, elusive, subjective, multidimensional, and without definitive boundaries [12]. The level of literacy also influences the consistency of the patients' routine dental practices in maintaining their oral hygiene. Oral health has thus remained an ignored entity and an unrealized issue in Pakistani society. It poses a threat globally in terms of the general well-being and providing a good quality of life to an individual [13,14]. It remains well established that the oral cavity becomes the gateway for many systemic diseases as also the first signs and symptoms of many systemic diseases find their first manifestations in the oral cavity [15].

The sample population in the present study consisted of a greater proportion of males, with 54.0% visiting the dental OPD in the six months during the survey. This data is on par with previous studies, with a higher rate of utilization by male patients [9,14,16]. While some studies reported that a higher proportion of participants in their study were female [17,18]

Toothbrushes and pastes have been accredited globally as the means for everyday oral hygiene routines. In this

study, two-thirds (75%) of the total population were using a toothbrush to maintain oral hygiene, which is consistent with the findings reported by Sen et al. ^[14]. Additionally, a higher prevalence of toothbrush usage of 94.4%, 90.0%, and 87.0% have been reported ^[12] respectively. While a lower prevalence of toothbrush usage, i.e. 69% and 42%, has been reported ^[15,19].

On comparing the rural with the urban society, more of the urban population (81.3%) tended to use a toothbrush for maintaining oral hygiene than the rural society (66.9%). This finding is consistent with the findings who reported that healthier tooth cleaning habits are better reported in the urban than the rural population [12].

This may be because the people residing in rural areas tend to use those techniques which are easily accessible and more comfortable for them due to a lack of awareness of correct oral hygiene measures.

It is interesting to note that brushing the teeth using toothbrush and toothpaste has been the most common method of maintaining oral hygiene, but the frequency of tooth-brushing still lags. In the present study, the number of people brushing their teeth twice daily was 43%. These findings are in line with the findings reported in previously published literature [14,17,19].

J Uni Med Dent Coll

A total of 22.6% of participants reported that they used to change their brush when the bristles get destroyed. These findings are consistent with that done by Sen et al ^[14]. This may be due to the socioeconomic standards of the participants who participated in this study. This is further justified by our results which portray a highly statistically significant difference between the rural and the urban population.

A visit to a dentist is still deemed necessary only when in pain. The findings of our study corroborate this observation. Only 10% of people had visited a dentist in the last 1 year while about 65% had visited only when having any dental problem like; pain.

Multiple reasons may be allocated for the same, including lack of awareness, motivation, or economic condition.

Table-III: Comparison between oral hygiene habits / practices among study participants (n=300).

	Male 162	Female 138	p-value	Rural 124	Urban 176	p-value
Dental Cleaning material and method	98 (60.5) 32 (19.7) 20 (12.3) 12 (7.4)	60 (43.5) 36 (26.1) 24 (17.4) 18(13.0)	0.028*	50(40.3) 33(26.6) 20(16.1) 21(17.0)	108(61.3) 35(20.0) 24(13.6) 9 (5.1)	0.000*
Interdental aids used	12 (7.4) 15 (9.2) 21 (13.0) 114 (70.4)	10(7.2) 16 (11.6) 18 (13.1) 94 (68.1)	0.929	7(5.6) 12(9.7) 9(7.3) 96(77.4)	15(8.5) 19(10.8) 30(17.1) 112(63.6)	0.040*
Toothbrush type usedHard MediumSoftDon't Know	51(31.4) 11(6.8) 66 (40.7) 34(21.1)	38(27.5) 12(8.7) 49(35.5) 39(28.3)	0.40	36(27.4) 8(8.0) 37(30.0) 43(34.6)	53(30.1) 15 (8.5) 78 (44.3) 30 (17.1)	0.003*
Frequency of daily brushing Once Twice More than twice Occasionally	100(61.7) 43(26.5) 10(6.2) 9(5.5)	75(54.4) 41(29.7) 14(10.1) 8(5.8)	0.48	63 (50.8) 42(33.8) 7(5.6) 10(8.0)	110(62.6) 42 (23.8) 17(9.6) 7(4.0)	0.047*
Frequency of changing brush Once in a year Once in 6 months Once in 3 months When bristles get destroyed	90(55.6) 16(10.0) 20(12.3) 36(22.1)	81(58.7) 13(9.4) 12(8.6) 32(23.3)	0.76	68 (54.8) 9 (7.2) 9 (7.2) 38 (30.6)	103(73.8) 20 (11.3) 23 (13.0) 30 (17.0)	0.000*
Duration of brushing 1-2 minutes 2-5 minutes 5-10 minutes 10 minutes	44 (27.1) 30 (18.5) 76 (47.0) 12 (7.4)	67 (48.5) 20 (14.5) 29 (21.0) 22(16.0)	0.000*	25 (20.2) 19 (15.3) 57(46.0) 23 (18.5)	86 (49.0) 31 (17.6) 48 (27.2) 11(6.2)	0.000*
Most frequent brushing Method used	73(45.1) 64(39.5) 25(15.4)	58(42.0) 64(46.4) 16(11.6)	0.40	44 (38.7) 53 (43.5) 27 (17.8)	87(47.2) 75(42.0) 14(10.8)	0.001*
Frequency of dental visits Once in 3 months Once in 6 months Once in a year Only when there is any problem	20(12.2) 16(10.0) 11(6.8) 115(71.0)	28(20.3) 10(7.2) 19(13.7) 81(58.7)	0.030*	7(5.6) 9(7.2) 14(11.3) 94(75.8)	41(23.3) 17(9.6) 16 (9.1) 102(58.0)	0.000*

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that oral hygiene knowledge/ perception and practices/habits of the patients are unsatisfactory. Knowledge and routine practices regarding oral hygiene are much lower in residents of the rural region compared with the urban region.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: None.
CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None.
GRANT SUPPORT & FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE:
None.

REFERENCES

- James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet. 2018;392(10159):1789-1858. Doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32279-7
- 2. Mathivadani V, Ganesh S BA, Arivarasu L. Knowledge and Awareness Of Oral Hygiene Maintenance-A Survey. Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government. 2021;27(2):2634-2642.

- 3. Frencken JE, Sharma P, Stenhouse L, Green D, Laverty D, Dietrich T. Global epidemiology of dental caries and severe periodontitis—a comprehensive review. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 2017;44:S94-S105. Doi:10.1111/jcpe.12677
- 4. Vrbič V, Vrbič M, Petersen PE. Epidemiology of dental caries and disease prevention among 12-year-olds in Slovenia over thirty years (1987–2017). Oral Health Preventive Dentistry. 2020;18:185-196.
- Barma MD, Indiran MA, Srisakthi D, Kumar P. Influence of socio-economic factors on unmet dental treatment needs among adult population worldwide: a structured review. PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology. 2020;17(7):1204-1215.
- Shehzad S, Farooq A, Waheed Z, Maqsood F, Khan I, Kabir SK. Knowledge, attitude & practice about oral hygiene in students of district peshawar. Pakistan Oral & Dental Journal. 2020;40(2):80-83.
- 7. Abdullah I, Alasady M. The impact of socioeconomic status on oral health of southern population in Iraq. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Public Health. 2021;24:205-216.
- **8.** Rad EH, Kavosi Z, Arefnezhad M. Economic inequalities in dental care utilizations in Iran: Evidence from an urban region. Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran. 2016;30:383.
- 9. Kapoor D, Gill S, Singh A, Kaur I, Kapoor P. Oral hygiene awareness and practice amongst patients visiting the Department of Periodontology at a Dental College and Hospital in North India. Indian Journal of Dentistry. 2014;5(2):64.
- **10.** Poudel P, Griffiths R, Wong VW, Arora A, Flack JR, Khoo CL, et al. Oral health knowledge, attitudes and care practices of people with diabetes: a systematic review. BMC public health. 2018;18(1):1-12.
- 11. Priyadarshini S, Perveen Z, Mehrotra A, David SJ, Agarwal A, Kumar A. Prevalence of misconception regarding oral health among the general population of Northern India: A KAP Study. Journal of Medical and Dental Science Research. 2021;8(5):01-6.
- 12. Durrani F, Rahman F, Sathiananthan MK, Kesarwani S, Galohda A, Borang PO. Oral hygiene practices and knowledge among residents of the trans-varuna region (India): A hospital-based study. Journal of Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry. 2018;16(2):154-159.
- 13. Dawani N, Afaq A, Bilal S. Oral Health Knowledge, Attitude and Practices Amongst Teachers of Public School Set-up of Karach, Pakistan. Journal of the Dow University of Health Sciences (JDUHS). 2013;7(1):15-19
- 14. Sen N, Mandal A, Bhat N, Asawa K, Sultane P, Chhabra S, et al. Oral Health-related Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices among Patients attending the Department of Public Health Dentistry of a Dental Hospital in Udaipur, India. International Journal of Preventive and Clinical Dental Research. 2017;4:43-49.

- 15. Jain N, Mitra D, Ashok K, Dundappa J, Soni S, Ahmed S. Oral hygiene-awareness and practice among patients attending OPD at Vyas Dental College and Hospital, Jodhpur. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology. 2012;16(4):524-528. Doi: 10.4103/0972-124X.106894
- 16. Singh A, Gambhir RS, Singh S, Kapoor V, Singh J. Oral health: How much do you know?—A study on knowledge, attitude and practices of patients visiting a North Indian dental school. European Journal of Dentistry. 2014;8(1):63-67.
- **17.** Lakdawala YA, Masood S, Khamuani MP, Amna R. Oral hygiene practices- a survey. Pakistan Oral & Dental Journal. 2018;38(3):349-352.
- **18.** Younus A, Qureshi A. Tooth brush changing frequency and associated socio-demographic and oral hygiene factors among residents of Karachi. Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene. 2016;8(2):4-11.
- 19. Bangash MFK, Jamroz Khan AH. Oral hygiene practice and awareness among Pakistanis in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Pak. Oral Dental Journal. 2013;33(2):350-353.

Author's Contribution:

Naveed Irfan: Conception, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of the

data.

Agha Taymoor Ali: Statistical analysis, and manuscript writing.

Suneel Kumar Punjabi: Analysis, interpretation of the data, and drafting the article.

Talha Asad Khan: Formalization of results and tables. **Arsalan Ahmed:** Final proofreading and editing of the manuscript.

Submitted for Publication:21-04-2021 Accepted after revision: 13-09-2021

274 J Uni Med Dent Coll