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ABSTRACT:

BACKGROUND & OBJECTIVE: Corona virus disease (COVID-19) has impacted lives globally with serious consequences. Universities are facing immense challenges in transition to online education. The objective was to evaluate the effectiveness of online system of teaching in COVID-19 as compared to classroom teaching in a basic medical sciences module.

METHODOLOGY: This is a cross-sectional study, conducted at Islamic International Dental College, from 15th February to 15th May 2020 on 1st year BDS students. At the end of their online module, an online feedback questionnaire was given to students. Assessment of the online module was compared with the previous class module assessment, to get an insight of their performance. SPSS version 25 was used for data analysis.

RESULTS: A highly significant p value was found in both Physiology and Biochemistry online tests as compared to class tests. Regarding feedback, 63(91.3%) students preferred classroom teaching as it enhanced active participation 59 (85.5%) and student teacher engagement 62 (89.9%). Students agreed that queries were addressed during online sessions 57 (70.9%) but they seemed less motivated 21(30.4%) and grasping of difficult concepts was tough 48 (69.5%). Teachers seemed to be more enthusiastic in online teaching 52 (75.4%), but there were variety of teaching tools used in classroom sessions 65 (94.2%).

CONCLUSION: Gradually medical universities, faculty and students will adapt to e-learning, our results showed students even underperforming students picked up e-learning effectively. However more innovative and proactive measures for students and faculty to enhance students learning.
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INTRODUCTION:

Since ancient times the classroom has been the standard venue for teaching & information delivery to students. However, online courses have also been offered in higher education in general according to specific curriculum for many years. From the healthcare and medicine perspective, various short online courses have been running successfully globally \(^1\).

During this COVID-19 pandemic crisis and the startling growth of cases, deaths and distribution, a lot has been going on globally in terms of quarantine, travel bans, online classes, work from home and lockdowns \(^2\). It is of utmost importance that the education cycle should not be disrupted and genuine efforts are made to switch over to online education. Online courses have both advantages and disadvantages in classroom setting. Many factors can influence whether or not an online learning program will succeed or fail, ranging from student led factors to staff led factors. Online courses are flexible both in terms of timings and where students learn material and the pace at which they learn course material \(^3-4\). With online courses students need to be more actively involved in learning course material, which as a positive aspect lead them towards self-regulated learning. Online teaching system is not part of routine academics; thus, some students experience more anxiety and may spend more time on online courses than on face-to-face learning \(^5\). However experiences with hybrid teaching involving both face to face as well as e-learning has shown beneficial results most of the time getting students exposed to a variety of teaching modalities \(^6\). Pakistan is a country with low budget allocation to health and education; therefore, utilization of funds in medical schools should aim for improvement. There is an initial cost for the software system with technical expertise to create private websites with online courses for educators / faculty of an institute. An active internet connection is must for its successful delivery, which if not working properly especially in remote places can cause frustration for students and additional work for universities \(^7\). Similarly, lack of individual space at homes in joint family systems and non-disciplined lifestyle with lack of focus during e-learning are big challenges for students \(^8\). The faculty is not properly trained for online classes as you need to find ways to ensure participation of students, and the quality and quantity of interaction has to be increased to attain the learning goals. There is no awareness about digital learning ethics which has worsened the situation \(^9\).

Thus, present study was undertaken in the wake of COVID-19 when it has become inevitable for all universities to transit towards the e-learning and see its impact in an undergraduate medical module to better prepare for the encountering challenges in online learning.

METHODOLOGY:

A descriptive cross-sectional study, was done in Islamic International Dental College, Islamabad, from 15th February to 15th May 2020. Block sampling method was used and every 2nd student of current and old 1st year BDS class was selected. In this way sample size was calculated to be 75. Only those medical students were selected which have undergone training through both types of teaching methodologies. Migratory students were not included in this study. It was questionnaire-based study and questionnaires were disseminated online. \(^21\) MS Excel and SPSS 25 were used for analysis of data. Quantitative data was interpreted using independent sample t test, whereas for qualitative analysis Chi square test was used. Informed consent was obtained from all respondents and study was approved by institutional ethical committee.

RESULTS:

The class of 1st year BDS in 2020 consisted of 75 students, among whom 69 (i.e. 92\%) participated in the study.

Test marks data analysis in Table-I showed that in Biochemistry, Classroom teaching had a...
Mean ± S.D as 9.4627±4.07627 while the online teaching had 11.2571±3.82473 with a p<0.05 which was significant. Similarly, in Physiology, Classroom teaching had a Mean±S.D as 20.1940±6.93310 while the online teaching had 25.9810±7.63328 with a p<0.05 which was again significant.

Table-I: Comparison of test marks between Classroom & online teaching.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Teaching Mode</th>
<th>Test Marks (Mean ± S.D)</th>
<th>Significance (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biochemistry</td>
<td>Classroom</td>
<td>9.4627±4.07627</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Online</td>
<td>11.2571±3.82473</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiology</td>
<td>Classroom</td>
<td>20.1940±6.93310</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Online</td>
<td>25.9810±7.63328</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data was divided in two groups High and Low achievers (combining both Biochemistry and Physiology tests), those scores above the median score were categories as high achievers (Has), whereas those scoring below the median were classified as low achievers (LAs). A highly significant p value(P<0.001) was indicative that online assessment markedly enhanced students' performance among LAs (19.56±7.56) compared to HAs (9.09±2.92) as shown in (figure-I).

Qualitative data analysis showed that majority of students, 63(91.3%) preferred classroom teaching as it enhanced active participation 59 (85.5%) and student teacher engagement 62 (89.9%). Although students agreed that their queries were immediately addressed during online sessions 57 (70.9%) but they seemed less motivated 21(30.4%) and grasping of difficult concepts was tough 48 (69.5%). Although students agreed that teachers seemed more enthusiastic and cooperative in their online teaching 52 (75.4%), but there was variety of teaching tools used in classroom65 (94.2%) vs online teaching 31 (44.9%). Students agreed that online classes did promote self-regulated learning 50 (72.4%). The frequency distribution of responses of students from both the groups is shown in the (figure-II).

Table-II showed that 52.2% of sample population is in the favor of class room teaching is more attractive, while 59.4% disagree online learning attractiveness, 2.9% of sample population strongly agree on online teaching, Chi-square test was applied and p-value 0.144 was calculated which is not significant.
Figure-II: Comparison of Online Teaching vs Class Room Teaching.

Table-II: Comparison of Online vs Class room teaching more interactive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>Online Teaching</th>
<th>Class Room Teaching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-Square Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square</td>
<td>17.150a</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio</td>
<td>18.160</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Valid Cases</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 15 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .06.
DISCUSSION:

So far this has been one of the first studies to compare the classroom vs online teaching of a basic medical module in a Pakistani dental college during this challenging time of COVID-19 pandemic. Riphah International University has been using Moodle (the Modular Object-Oriented Dynamic Learning Environment since 2005 which is one of the most user-friendly and verified open-source LMS. License of MS Teams was purchased and training of faculty immediately started regarding conducting of online classes and further training on updated version of Moodle with the help of IT team.

In our study, regarding the learning outcomes, students performed better in online assessments as compared to classroom assessments whereas the qualitative data suggested that majority of students preferred the classroom teaching while interacting face to face in a classroom environment that boost their motivation and in future would like to continue with the classroom teaching and assessment as compared to online teaching. A qualitative study on an ECG course at the University of Ulm (2017) had the same experience by students where they preferred the face to face interaction with teacher vs the online course. This led them to conclude, to adopt strategies to enhance students internal and external motivation. Similar perception was seen by Saudi students in an online questionnaire where they preferred the on ground more than the online education due to quality and accreditation.

A study in Peking University of China during this COVID-19 pandemic interpreted through students’ responses that for such a large scale online teaching, technical operational obstacles are not the main challenges for students. Instead, lack of a good learning attitude and cultural believes are hindering students learning and motivation. Students experience problems such as non-disciplined lifestyle and lack of individual space/good learning environments when they are self isolated at home. This factor may have a role in our case too. Hence with the technical expertise and faculty training programs we need to keep in mind this contextual sociocultural aspect of our students as well. Contrary to our qualitative results another similar study conducted in Najran University-College of Nursing showed that e-learning group expressed higher satisfaction as compared to traditional group and final exams result showed a statistically significant improvement in the e-learning group.

Our results regarding the learning outcomes can be interpreted in two ways; viewing the students’ feedback, cheating & malpractices were common in the online format which could be a reason for better performance seen in the online assessment in both Biochemistry and Physiology as is agreed upon by majority of the faculty. Although we used preventive techniques like one attempt allowed, randomizing questions, reshuffling of options, limited time given to minimize the digital cheating, still MCQs are considered the easiest online format for cheating with lack of online proctoring, hence we are now moving towards a balanced assessment strategy by adding open book exam to promote deeper learning of higher level learning outcome, in the medium stake assessments. Higher Education Commission has also preferred the open book exam as the preferred mode of assessment in the online format as the mcqs alone might not be very successful in assessing the higher cognitive skills. This is particularly important for medical/dental students where we want them to acquire problem solving, analytical skills in their professional life. Contrary to our results majority of the studies related to digital vs face to face learning have shown no statistically significant difference in both the modalities regarding learning outcomes. The second interpretation could be that since the students were very anxious regarding the first online modular assessment they worked really hard to go through it or the test was made relatively easy to facilitate the students and ameliorate the additional COVID-19 lockdown related anxiety.

In ideal circumstances a blend of both strategies would benefit the students in meeting their diverse learning needs and will prepare to excel globally in this modern era of digitalization. Majority of undergraduate students have shown an inclination towards supplemental online learning rather a replacement for traditional teaching. It is
the need of hour to equip ourselves and tune our students to e-learning and COVID-19 pandemic has provided this opportunity as a silver lining through clouds.

CONCLUSION:

It was concluded that low performing students performed better as compared high performing students in case of online assessment. Majority students preferred online teaching, showed higher level of participation and promotion of self-study. However less interaction between fellow students and teachers as compared to class room teaching.
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